

## THE CORIOLIS FORCE

Have you ever tried to play “clay pigeon shooting” during a carnival? It is already no easy task to reach a motionless target, then what about a moving target...

Let us think of the same thing on the scale of Earth with, for instance, a missile fired from a helicopter in stationary flight above the North Pole (the pilot could see Earth turning under his feet, through the cockpit), this missile aiming a motionless target located at the equator.

### To approach the problem correctly

The problem is outlined like this: right after being thrown, the missile follows a rectilinear trajectory (Newton's law, if we assume that the launch is observed from the Sun), whereas the target, although motionless, continuously follows a rotary motion that coincides with the one of Earth, because Earth rotates around itself.

This time seen from Earth, the missile seems deviated from its usual trajectory, as if it were subjected to a force. That is the Coriolis force.

### From Paris to the equator

What would the trajectory of the missile be if, instead of being fired from a helicopter at the North Pole, it was fired from the ground in Paris?

As Earth has a spherical shape and turns on itself, every point of the Earth's surface has a peripheral speed that is proportional to the distance from the Earth's rotational axis, in other words: inversely proportional to its latitude.

This speed, of course nil on the poles (latitude  $90^\circ$ ), reaches  $300 \text{ m.s}^{-1}$  in Paris ( $49^\circ$  north latitude) and  $464 \text{ m.s}^{-1}$  on the equator (latitude 0).

If one observes the shooting from the Sun, if one neglects the gravitation and the air resistance, one notices that the missile describes a rectilinear trajectory composed by two velocity vectors that are perpendicular and of constant modulus.

One of the two vectors corresponds to the actual speed of the missile strictly speaking, it is pointing to the south, which means towards the target located on the equator; the other one corresponds to the peripheral speed of the place from where the shot is fired, it is pointing to the east, i.e. in the same direction as the Earth's rotation.

This wouldn't impact the accuracy of the shooting if the missile and the target had strictly identical peripheral speeds <sup>(1)</sup>, yet it is precisely not the case. Quite the opposite actually, because the difference is growing as the missile gets closer of its target.

Therefore it is just as if the target shied away from the missile.

Seen from the Earth this time, the missile seems deviated from its actual trajectory, as if it were subject to a force. That is the Coriolis force.

### **Who was Coriolis?**

Gaspard Coriolis (1792-1843) was a French military engineer.

His most prominent work consisted in solving artillery and ballistics problems which, at the beginning of the 19<sup>th</sup> century, became more difficult with the progresses of long-range shots (several tens of kilometers).

There were not these problems at the time of Newton, 150 years earlier, and there is no more today, due to laser-guided bombs.

### **Force or effect?**

The Coriolis force is an apparent force. Like all apparent forces (there are only three: the inertial force, the centrifugal force and the Coriolis force!), it is a fictitious force which has no real existence.

That's why the physicists use the words «Coriolis effect» rather than of force, in order to distinguish the effect from the cause. The Coriolis effect, by the way predicted by Newton (in "*Principia*", treatise published in London in 1687), is not due to a force, it is only caused by the spherical shape of Earth, and its rotary motion.

So there is no Coriolis effect if a planet is free of rotation on itself<sup>(2)</sup>.

### **The other "Coriolis effect"**

The Coriolis effect not only affects the trajectory of projectiles, but also that of objects freefalling to Earth, for the same reason, which is the difference of peripheral speed between the start point and the final point of the fall.

This effect, called "vertical Coriolis effect" to distinguish it from the "horizontal Coriolis effect" described above, is of course nil at the poles, because the peripheral speed of the surface of Earth is nil there. It is maximal on the equator. Between the pole and the equator, it depends on the latitude of the place and on the height of the fall.

To understand this phenomenon, just try a very simple experiment, consisting of rolling a ball on a table. As soon as the ball arrives at the edge of the table, it falls. However, it doesn't reach the floor exactly vertically below the edge of the table, but slightly close by, because of its initial speed. And the bigger its initial speed is, the more this gap is important.

Let us now imagine the same ball dropped without initial speed from the Eiffel Tower, in Paris. We neglect the air resistance and the turbulences, in other words we reason as if the ball fell in a perfect vacuum.

Because of the Earth's sphericity and rotation, the peripheral speed of the top of the Eiffel Tower is of  $0.015 \text{ m.s}^{-1}$  superior to that of the foot.

This velocity vector being perpendicular to the trajectory of the fall, everything happens as if the ball fell with a nil horizontal speed at the beginning of the fall, but in constant increase as it gets closer to the ground.

For the observer, the trajectory described by the ball bends as it falls, as if it were subject to a transversal force. Thus it reaches the ground not exactly vertically below the point from where it was dropped, but slightly close by. Given the direction of rotation of Earth, this deviation is oriented eastwards.

### **A negligible effect**

A set of calculations shows that any mass dropped from the Eiffel Tower in Paris (1,000 ft high, 8-second free-fall) is only deviated by the Coriolis effect by less than ten centimeters when it touches the ground (we neglect the air resistance and the turbulences)!

We deduce that the Coriolis effect, whether horizontal or vertical, is negligible for a short distance or duration.

### **Some misconceptions on Coriolis effect...**

The tides are permanent oscillations of the liquid masses due to the attraction of the Moon and of the Sun. Nevertheless, these phenomena are only perceivable on a large scale and on huge extents. So it is absolutely impossible to observe them or to feel their effects on the shore of a lake or the edge of a swimming-pool.

Similarly, the Coriolis effect is far too weak to play any role in the water flow in a tub or a sink: it would require a large round lake covering an area of several square kilometers, provided with a central funnel-shaped plughole, to appear significantly.

The Coriolis effect doesn't either affect the movement of automobiles, its magnitude being disproportionate with the various parameters influencing the trajectory of the ground vehicles (road layout, banking, crosswind...).

And even if we consider rally cars that take off from the ground when hitting bumps, their jumps are too short and too brief to be affected in any way by the Coriolis effect. Let us be clear, one thing is for sure: there is no Coriolis effect in automobile!

## Once again, a referential story!

Then the referential theory (see ADILCA files) applies to the problem of the trajectory of a missile fired from Earth:

- here, the general referential is the Sun (referential which is absolute, inertial, Galilean or Copernican, referring to Nicolaus Copernicus, who was the first to formulate the heliocentric theory), referential from which we can observe both the Earth's rotation motion and the actual trajectory of the missile;
- here, the special referential is Earth (referential which is relative, non inertial or non Galilean, referring to Galileo Galilei, who was the first to raise the issue of the referential in the description of a movement), referential from which we can only observe the trajectory of the missile, as if Earth had stopped turning on itself.

In the Copernican referential, the Coriolis force doesn't exist. The Coriolis effect can only appear in a special referential (most of the time: Earth), hence excluding any description of the rotary motion of the referential. Both descriptions cannot be overlaid.

## Coriolis force: a novel definition!

From the above, we can draw this novel definition of the Coriolis force:

*“Coriolis force refers to the transversal force that should be applied on any kind of projectile, or on any mass in free-fall, if Earth stopped turning on itself, in order to obtain the same trajectory as the one it seems to describe seen from Earth”.*

## Coriolis effect: a rough estimation

### 1. Horizontal Coriolis effect

Let us figure out the magnitude of the Coriolis effect exerted on a car weighing 3,300 lb (1,500 kg) and circulating near Paris at the speed of 65 mph (30 m.s<sup>-1</sup>) on a south-north, or north-south track<sup>(3)</sup>:

$$F = 2 M \cdot V \cdot \omega \cdot \text{sine } \theta$$

**F**: Coriolis effect (in **N**)

**M**: mass of the car (in kg)

**V**: speed of the car (in m.s<sup>-1</sup>)

$\omega$ : rotation speed of the referential (Earth:  $\omega = 7.27 \times 10^{-5}$  rad.s<sup>-1</sup>)

$\theta$ : latitude considered location (Paris: 49° north latitude; sine 49° = 0.75)

$$\text{units consistency}^{(4)}: F = \text{kg} \cdot \text{m.s}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} = \text{kg.m.s}^{-2} = \mathbf{N}$$

$$F = 2 \times 1,500 \times 30 \times 7.27 \times 10^{-5} \times 0.75 = 5 \text{ N}$$

How to interpret this result? On an automobile, such a small effect can only occur if the following conditions are met:

- the roadway is flat, without any banking;
- it describes a perfect straight line that is south-north or north-south oriented;
- there is no crosswind;
- the car body is in perfect condition, without any accessories, and the windows are fully closed;
- the front and rear wheel axles are not affected by any parallelism issue or any misalignment;
- each of the four tires has the same state of wear, the same pressure and is equally loaded.

These conditions are obviously impossible to meet!

But if that were the case, the Coriolis effect being perpendicular to the trajectory, the car would give the impression of “pulling to the right” in the Northern hemisphere, and of “pulling to the left” in the Southern hemisphere.

Be careful! It is an effect and not a force. In other words, the car would not be subject to any transverse acceleration. So the driver would not feel anything particular, except for a visual impression: he would simply notice that the car, left to itself, gradually moves away from the ideal trajectory...

So the Coriolis effect corresponds to the magnitude of the guiding force (see Adilca file named “guiding force”), that would be triggered by the driver to keep the car in line with the road axis, if this effect was perceptible<sup>(5)</sup>.

Independent of the longitude, the Coriolis effect is proportional to the latitude: it would be equal to 4 N if, all else being equal (mass, speed, trajectory), the car circulated near Athens or Lisbon (38° north latitude), and 6 N near Helsinki or Oslo (60° north latitude).

To assess the magnitude of the Coriolis phenomenon, let us calculate the magnitude of the guiding force that should be triggered by a driver in a car weighing 3,300 lb (1,500 kg), wishing to make a complete turn of the Paris ring road (22 mi, 35 km), at the speed of 45 mph (20 m.s<sup>-1</sup>), assuming a flat and perfectly circular ring road:

$$F = M \cdot V^2 / R = M \cdot V^2 \cdot [C / (2 \pi)]$$

**F**: guiding force (expressed in **N**)  
**M**: mass of the car (expressed in kg)  
**V**: speed of the car (expressed in  $\text{m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ )  
**R**: trajectory radius (expressed in m)  
**C**: circumference of the Paris ring road (expressed in m)

units consistency<sup>(4)</sup>:  $\mathbf{F} = \text{kg} \cdot (\text{m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1})^2 \cdot \text{m}^{-1} = \text{kg} \cdot \text{m}^{+2}\cdot\text{s}^{-2} \cdot \text{m}^{-1} = \text{kg}\cdot\text{m}\cdot\text{s}^{-2} = \mathbf{N}$

$$\mathbf{F} = 1,500 \times 20^2 / (35,000 / 6.28) = \mathbf{110 \text{ N}}$$

No one is sensible to this force, but the magnitude is yet more than 20 times greater than the Coriolis effect assessed above!

## 2. Vertical Coriolis effect

Let us calculate the deviation of trajectory caused by Coriolis effect on an object freefalling from the top of the Eiffel Tower in Paris:

$$\mathbf{D} = 2/3 \omega \cdot \cosine \theta \cdot (2 h^3 / g)^{1/2}$$

**D**: deviation of trajectory due to Coriolis effect (expressed in **m**)

$\omega$ : referential rotation speed (Earth:  $\omega = 7.27 \times 10^{-5} \text{ rad}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ )

$\theta$ : latitude of the considered location

(Paris:  $49^\circ$  north latitude;  $\cosine 49^\circ = 0.66$ )

$h$ : height of the fall (Eiffel Tower: 1,000 ft, 320 m)

$g$ : gravitational acceleration of the considered location (Paris:  $g = 9.8 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-2}$ )

units consistency<sup>(4)</sup>:  $\mathbf{D} = \text{s}^{-1} \cdot (\text{m}^{+3} \cdot \text{m}^{-1}\cdot\text{s}^{+2})^{1/2} = \text{s}^{-1} \cdot (\text{m}^{+2}\cdot\text{s}^{+2})^{1/2} = \text{s}^{-1} \cdot (\text{m}^{+1}\cdot\text{s}^{+1}) = \mathbf{m}$

$$\mathbf{D} = 2/3 \times 7.27 \times 10^{-5} \times 0.66 \times (2 \times 320^3 / 9.8)^{1/2} = \mathbf{0.08 \text{ m}}$$

How to interpret this result? The deviation of trajectory attributed to Coriolis effect can only appear if the following conditions are met:

- the object has a perfectly homogeneous mass, a strictly spherical shape and a perfectly smooth outer surface;
- the object, during its fall, slides in the air, without rolling nor generating any resistance or aerodynamic turbulence;
- there is no crosswind...

These conditions are obviously impossible to meet!

Actually, numerous experiments led in ideal atmospheric conditions have shown that the exact localisation of the impact point, on the ground, of a steel ball after such a fall is only accurate to within  $\pm 0.15$  meters.

Thus, the uncertainty due to the experimental conditions thus has an influence on average three times greater than the Coriolis effect!

- (1) *It would be the case if, instead of being spherical, Earth were cylinder-shaped.*
- (2) *Such a planet does not exist in the Solar System.*
- (3) *The horizontal Coriolis effect always manifests on the same side, regardless of the direction of car traffic, it is only reversed when changing of hemisphere.*
- (4) *The angle measurements, the trigonometric ratios, the coefficients etc. are dimensionless quantities that don't affect the units with which they are combined.*
- (5) *The guiding force intended to offset the Coriolis effect, the driver should steer in the opposite direction, in other words to the left in the northern hemisphere, to the right in the southern hemisphere.*

## ASSOCIATION ADILCA

[www.adilca.com](http://www.adilca.com)

\* \* \*